

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,817	01/13/2004	Timothy G. Haines	3293.03US10	2382
7590 11/06/2006			EXAMINER .	
Brad Pedersen ·			HOFFMAN, MARY C	
Patterson, Thuente, Skaar & Christensen, P.A.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4800 IDS Center 80 South 8th Street				PAPER NUMBER
Minneapolis, MN 55402-2100			3733	
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/756,817	HAINES, TIMOTHY G.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Mary Hoffman	3733
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal mat	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 35-54 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to	awn from consideration. allowed. for election requirement. her. fare: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/10/2006,02/24/2006.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/756,817

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is too short and does not describe that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology

Application/Control Number: 10/756,817

Art Unit: 3733

often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 35, 38 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hofmann et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,021,056).

Hofmann et al. disclose a method of resecting bone (see FIG. 25) for a knee implant procedure comprising operably positioning at least one generally planar cutting guide surface that is adapted to interface and guide a saw blade such that at least a portion of the at least one guide surface is positioned along one of a medial side or a lateral side and proximate an end of a long bone of a leg associated with a knee, the at least one guide surface also being positioned generally transverse to a long axis of the long bone with the portion of the at least one guide surface having a longer dimension generally along the at least one of the medial or lateral side and a shorter dimension generally transverse to the longer dimension; using a cutting tool having a saw blade (ref. #146) with a cutting edge at a distal end of a long axis of the saw blade to create at least one resected surface of the end of the long bone by guiding the saw

blade with at least the portion of the at least one guide surface and moving the long axis of the saw blade in a direction generally parallel to the shorter dimension; and implanting a knee implant (ref. #18) on the at least one resected surface. The long bone is a tibia and the step of positioning the at least one planar cutting guide surface is performed proximate a proximal end of tibia.

Hofmann et al. further disclose a method comprising providing a cutting guide having a slot adapted to receive and guide a cutting tool, the cutting tool having a saw blade with at least one cutting edge at a distal end of a long axis of the saw blade; positioning the cutting guide in a position proximate an end of one of a femur or a tibia with at least a portion of the slot facing the end of the one of the femur or the tibia from one of a medial aspect or a lateral aspect; extending the saw blade through the slot; cutting the end of the one of the femur or the tibia by moving the cutting tool in at least one of a medial to lateral direction or a lateral to medial direction to create at least one resected surface; and implanting a knee implant on the at least one resected surface.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,817

Art Unit: 3733

Claims 42 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hofmann et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,021,056) in view of Fargie et al. (U.S. Patent 4,736,737).

Hofmann et al. disclose the claimed method except for the step of cutting being performed with the powered saw, either an oscillating saw or a reciprocating saw.

Fargie et al. discloses using an oscillating power saw to guide the saw blade into a desired relation with the patient's tibia (col. 4, lines-1-2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was to made to construct the blade of Hoffman et al. being an oscillating power saw in view of order to guide the saw blade into a desired relation with the patient's tibia.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 36-37, 39-41, 44-45 and 47-54 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See attached PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Hoffman whose telephone number is 571-272-5566. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:00pm.

Art Unit: 3733

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo C. Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MCH

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER